



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1007/s10997-013-9265-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-013-9265-6)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Filatotchev, I., & Wright, M. (2015). Special issue on "Management, governance and regulation in the changing investor landscape: the rise of alternative investments". *JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE*, 19(1), 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-013-9265-6>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Filatotchev, I. & Wright, M. (2015). Special issue on "Management, governance and regulation in the changing investor landscape: the rise of alternative investments". *Journal of Management and Governance*, 19(1), pp. 1-4. doi: 10.1007/s10997-013-9265-6

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/15693/>

Link to published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10997-013-9265-6>

Copyright and reuse: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk

Management, Governance and Regulation in the Changing Investor Landscape: the Rise of Alternative Investments

Igor Filatotchev, Cass Business School, City
University London;

Mike Wright, Imperial College Business School

Introduction

In recent years, there has been a rapid growth in a large range of investment managers that represent alternatives to traditional equity holding in listed corporations by individuals and institutions such as pension funds and insurance. These alternative investors include private equity, hedge funds, sovereign wealth funds, infrastructure funds, etc. These trends have important implications for the management and governance of firms that have to date received varied attention. In particular, the changing investor landscape raises critical research questions regarding the structures and processes of shareholder engagement with portfolio companies. However, the extent to which alternative investors represent new forms of engagement and governance that cover all stages of firms' life-cycle is as yet unclear (Wright, Siegel, Keasey and Filatotchev, 2013). The returns from some forms of alternative investors, notably private equity firms, have attracted other forms of entrants to the market such as hedge funds which may have different skills, different objectives and different investment time horizons. Similarly, the entry of sovereign wealth funds raises further issues and concerns about their strategic objectives and the implications for their portfolio companies.

The increase in the range and diversity of these investment institutions also raises direct questions about the extent to which the regulatory authorities are capable of dealing with the challenges that these new investment vehicles pose in terms of their involvement in corporate governance. Developments in the presence and roles of alternative investors have generated considerable policy debate and have led to actions to change the regulatory framework covering these organizations. The evidence base for these developments is partial at best and the appropriately differentiated regulatory approaches continue to attract attention.

The Centre for Research on Corporate Governance at Cass Business School, City University London jointly with the *Journal of Management and Governance* organized a conference in London in September 2011 that aimed to address these gaps in the evidence concerning the structures and processes through which governance and management are effected in firms with these new types of investors, and how these alternative investment firms are regulated. This Conference brought together academics, practitioners and regulators involved in research and policy development related to the changes in investor landscape in the UK and abroad. Apart from members of the research community, the audience included fund managers and their advisors, corporate governance practitioners and Government.

Academic papers presented at the Conference form the basis for this Special Issue and they cover a wide range of topics, research methodologies and empirical data. The paper by **Muller-Kahle** examines the impact of three types of dominant shareholders on firm performance. Using a longitudinal sample of firms in the US and UK, findings show that firm performance is negatively influenced by CEO dominant owners. Furthermore, firms

with dominant owners who have no existing business relationships with the firms have better firm performance than firms with dominant owners who have potential conflicts of interest.

Faelten, Gietzmann and Vitkova develop a financial geography framework and analyze the impact of institutional information on the probability of a takeover being completed as well as on the post-takeover performance. Their research context is foreign M&A and the role of changes in shareholding by institutional owners. It is hypothesised that regional expertise, that is, local knowledge, provides additional information to a potential acquirer. Therefore the key contribution of their paper is to test the relationship between low investor share turnover by institutions with local expertise and the probability of a deal occurring. It is also hypothesised that these deals will produce higher post merger returns.

Croci and Pertrella exploit blockholders' legal filing requirements with the Italian regulatory authority (CONSOB) to examine trading and disclosure effects associated with hedge fund trades. Trading effects are related to hedge funds' buying activity on the market, whereas disclosure effects are related to the possibility that the market anticipates future activism and reacts to the announcement of hedge funds' ownership. The authors find that the trading effect is significantly larger than the disclosure effect. This result implies that the price impact associated with hedge fund purchases explains a large portion of the price reaction attributed exclusively to hedge fund activism in previous studies.

Weir, Jones and Wright are focused on the effects of private equity firms in public-to-private (PTP) transactions in the UK. Using a hand collected data set of 138 buy-outs, these authors show that for all PTPs there is a significant improvement in financial health in the post deal years relative to the year before going private. They also find that there is a significant improvement in the financial health of PTPs relative to firms remaining public. However, they suggest that the claims that the financial and governance mechanisms imposed by PE providers will produce better outcomes are strictly limited in the second wave of PTPs.

Finally, considering the recent rapid expansion of shareholder activism phenomenon in the United Kingdom (UK) and the vast amount of resources committed to it by corporations, government and investors, **Filatotchev and Dotsenko** investigate its effectiveness. This article analyzes organizational outcomes of shareholder activism in the UK. The authors provide a detailed account of different types of activists, activism strategies and shareholder demands associated with the events of activism. Their findings show that the effectiveness of shareholder activism in terms of abnormal stock-market returns varies dramatically depending on its form, type of investor and the nature of investor proposals.

It would be hard to provide a parsimonious account of a rapidly developing sub-field of corporate governance research that is focused on the complex interaction between organizations and their investors, although the papers in this Special Issue address some important aspects of investor engagement and different types of investors. Other questions that future research might address include the following:

- How are board members selected in firms with alternative investors and what is their involvement in firm governance?
- How do the structures and processes of governance by alternative investors vary across different institutional contexts?
- How do the structures and processes of governance by alternative investors vary according to whether they are domestic or foreign investors in a particular country?

- How do different governance and management approaches of alternative investors pose challenges for the transition from one investor to another as firms pass through development phases?
- To what extent do different alternative investors encourage managers to pursue growth versus restructuring strategies?
- What are the time horizons of different alternative investors and how do these influence approaches to governance and management in portfolio companies?

We hope that researchers will be inspired by this Special Issue when addressing some of the aforementioned research questions.

Reference

Wright, M., Siegel, D., Keasey, K. and Filatotchev, I. 2013. **The Oxford Handbook of Corporate Governance**. Oxford:OUP.